Preface

Throughout most of the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s, computational linguistics enjoyed an excellent reputation. A sense of the promise of the work to society was prevalent, and high expectations were justified by solid, steady progress in research.

Nevertheless, by the close of the 1980s, many people openly expressed doubt about progress in the field. Are the problems of human language too hard to be solved even in the next eighty years? What measure(s) (other than the number of papers published) show significant progress in the last ten years? Where is the technology successfully deployed in the military, with revolutionary impact? In what directions should the field move, to ensure progress and avoid stagnation?

The symposium

A symposium on Future Directions in Natural Language Processing was held at Bolt Beranek and Newman, Inc. (BBN), in Cambridge, Massachusetts, from November 29, 1989, to December 1, 1989.

The symposium, sponsored by BBN's Science Development Program, brought together top researchers in a variety of areas to discuss the most significant problems and challenges that will face the field of computational linguistics in the next two to ten years. Speakers were encouraged to present both recently completed and speculative work, and to focus on topics that will have the most impact on the field in the coming decade. They were asked to reconsider unsolved problems of long standing as well as to present new opportunities. The purpose was to contribute to long-range planning by funding agencies, research groups, academic institutions, graduate students, and others interested in computational linguistics.

The thirty-six symposium attendees, who are listed following this preface, were all invited participants. They included speakers, respondents to papers, and people from government, industry, and academia. Though varying greatly in age and in backgrounds, they all shared a strong interest in computational linguistics.

The format of the meeting provided for one-and-a-half-hour presentations, much longer than at most conferences, in order to give speakers sufficient time to present ideas completely. The schedule also allowed plenty of time for lively

discussions. A brief review of the symposium was published by Mark Maybury in the Summer 1990 issue of Al Magazine.

The papers and the book

We have taken the liberty of arranging the chapters in this book in a slightly different order than they were presented at the symposium. This allows our own paper, "Critical Challenges for NLP," to come first, both to set the tone for the subsequent papers and to provide a number of definitions and examples that may be helpful to readers who are not fully familiar with this field.

The three "traditional" areas of NLP – syntax, semantics, and pragmatics – all focus on language that is made up of words (or entities very similar to words). It is curious that the study of words themselves has not traditionally been a major area of interest in computational linguistics. Perhaps this is because it has always been assumed that each NL system has its own, usually small (under 5,000 words) lexicon that has been developed by hand for the application under study.

One of the key insights of the BBN symposium is that more attention must be paid to the development of large, sharable lexicons that are produced specifically for use by NL systems. Traditional dictionaries have been produced for use in book form by humans, but this is completely inadequate for computational applications.

The three papers that form Part II, Building the lexicon, address these issues. Sue Atkins (Oxford University Press), whose talk was originally titled "Beware the lexicon" but appears here as "The contribution of lexicography," gives a lexicographer's view of a proposed structure for lexical entries. Beth Levin (Northwestern University) provides an analysis of verbs, specifically verbs of making sound, that allows for the handling of new uses of known words. Branimir Boguraev (IBM Yorktown Heights) discusses the use of machine-readable sources to construct thesauri and other knowledge bases.

The areas of semantics and knowledge representation remain closely coupled and of great import. Robert Moore (SRI International) presents a study of the adverb, a part of speech that has been largely ignored in the past. James Allen (University of Rochester) provides an excellent discussion of the use of ambiguity and a related concept, vagueness, in logical form.

The subject of discourse has been receiving increased attention in the past few years. Rebecca Passonneau (Paramax) addresses this important issue. Mark Steedman (University of Pennsylvania) originally titled his presentation "Prosody, parsing, and discourse," and thus it is included in the discourse section, although its title here is "Surface structure, intonation, and discourse meaning."

It has long been the goal of many researchers to integrate speech processing techniques (such as speech recognition) with natural language processing to produce systems capable of understanding spoken language. Janet Pierrehumbert

Preface ix

(Northwestern University) argues that a rich model of prosodic structure is necessary to understand how prosody and intonation convey information about attention and intention.

The book concludes with the results of a lengthy and lively discussion on the future of computational linguistics. This discussion attempted to enumerate some of the most important problems to be tackled in the next few years and to describe what will be needed to solve them.

The papers that were presented at the BBN symposium represent not only cuttingedge research on familiar topics but also new directions for the field. This is indicated by the presence of such topics as the lexicon and speech (and the absence of such topics as parsers and grammars), which would not have been the case in a similar meeting held even five years ago.

The purpose of this volume is to convey that excitement, to suggest concrete ideas that the contributing authors think most promising for future research, and to encourage the readers to pursue ideas for a new generation of NLP technology.

Madeleine Bates Ralph M. Weischedel